1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	BRIAN EGGLESTON,	
11	Petitioner,	CASE NO. 3:16-CV-05159-RBL-DWC
12	v.	ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION TO STAY
13	MARGARET GILBERT,	
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	The District Court has referred this action to United States Magistrate Judge David W.	
17	Christel. Petitioner Brian Eggleston filed a federal habeas Petition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254,	
18	seeking relief from a state court conviction. Currently before the Court is Petitioner's Unopposed	
19	Motion to Stay Habeas Petition Pending State Court Decision ("Motion"). Dkt 7.	
20	District courts may use a "stay-and-abeyance" procedure while a petitioner exhausts his	
21	claims in state court. Rhines v. Weber, 544 U.S. 269, 275-77; Calderon v. United States District	
22	Court (Taylor), 134 F.3d 981, 988 (9th Cir.1998). Here, Petitioner contends a stay is proper	
23	because Petitioner's Amended Petition contains a new claim that has not been presented to the	
24	state court. Dkt. 7. Petitioner states he intends to file a personal restraint petition ("PRP") in the	

state court and requests the Amended Petition be stayed until the anticipated PRP is resolved. *Id.* Petitioner asserts Respondent does not oppose the Motion; however, Respondent does not waive any defense based upon exhaustion, procedural bar, and/or the statute of limitations. After reviewing the Motion, the Motion is granted. The case is stayed until September 16, 2016. Petitioner will file a report and, if needed, a motion to extend the stay on or before September 2, 2016 -- fourteen days before the stay ends. Petitioner will inform the Court of the status of his state proceedings. Petitioner's report will include the state court cause number. Further, if the state court dismisses or resolves the PRP, Petitioner will inform the Court and file a motion to lift the stay within 30 days of the state court taking action. Dated this 18th day of March, 2016. United States Magistrate Judge